United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service

OMB No. 0578-0030 NRCS-PDM-20

DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR) Emergency Watershed Protection Program - Recovery

Section 1A	E	VRCS Entry (Eligible:	YES NO
Date of Report: 02/28/2006	F	Approved: Funding Prior	YES NO I
DSR Number: 103-05-150K Project Number:			irce Area: YES NO NO
Sponsor Name: St. Tammany Parish	sor Inform	nation	
Address: P.O. Box 628			
City/State/Zip: Covington, LA 70434-0628			,
Telephone Number: (985) 898-2552 Fax: (985) 89	8-5205	W-0-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	
Section 1C Site Loc	ation Info	ormation	
County: St. Tammany State: LA	Congr	essional Dist	rict: 01
Latitude: see comments Longitude: see comments			
UTM Coordinates: 16			
Drainage Name: 19-AW1-11 (Meadows Blvd)	Reach: 2	380 feet	
Damage Description: Debris is reducing the capacity of Channel. De	rainage is	severely impe	eded. Debris consists mostly of trees.
Section 1D Sit			
All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for E	WP assist	ance	
Site Eligibility	YES	NO	Remarks
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*	V		Hurricane Katrina - August 29, 2005
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?*	V		
Threat to life and/or property?*	1		
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*	7		
Imminent threat was created by this event?**	V		
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?**	7		
Site Defensibility	. 727077820	E 20072100 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	The state of the s
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to			The second secon
warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)	<u> </u>	<u></u>	
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)	V		
Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments program and its possible effects? YES V NO C start: N 30.32551, W 89.83806 end: N 30.32550, W 89.83031	of the affe	ected populat	ion have been informed of the EWP

^{*} Statutory
** Regulation
*** DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.

DSR NO: ______

Section 1E Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:

Proposed Action- Debris removal with conventional equipment from channel and banks and haul 20 ft. beyond on side worked. Work one side only where possible, Haul debris to approved disposal area.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$ 53,990.00

Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval

Reviewed By:

tate EW Program Manager

Date Reviewed:

Approved By: Acting For

State Conservationist

Date Approved:

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, martial status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programms.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (vocie and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

2A Resource	2B Existing Condition					
Concerns	Condition	Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative		
		Debris removal w/ conventional equip. from one side, alternating sides depending on access, landscape, and cost effecientcy	Leave debris in stream	Debris removal using a helicopter. Dispose of debris at approved areas.		
		2	D Effects of Alternativ	es		
Soil						
Bank erosion	est 20 t/a- steep w/ bare areas & tree uprooting	reduce bank erosion-removing uprooted trees/natural reveget	est 20 t/a- steep w/ bare areas & tree uprooting	educe bank erosion-removing uprooted trees/natural revegetat		
Water						
DO/BOD	Decreased DO/ increased BOD blockage to water	restore DO/BOD similar to prestorm	continued decrease in DO/ increase in BOD	restore DO/BOD similar to prestorm		
Overall Stream Health	SVAP = 5.1 = Fair	SVAP would increase > 5.1	SVAP would continue to decrease < 5.1	SVAP would increase > 5.1		
Air						
Air quality	Existing condition not impacting air quality	No impact to air quality	No impact to air quality	No impact to air quality		
Plant						
Aquatic health/vigor	impaired due to storm debris	restore similar to prestorm condition	continued decrease until ecosystem stabilizes	restore similar to prestorm condition		
Riparian habitat	abundant and dense habitat/ some broken trees	Habitat removal for equipment access - beneficial in longterm	The risk of flood damage increases - channel blockage	Habitat removal for equipment access - beneficial in longterm		
Animal						
Aquatic animals	no fish noted; insects, reptiles & amphibians were observed	Improve aqua animal occurrenc abundance, and diversity	Continued decline in aquatic animal life	Improve aqua animal occurrence abundance, and diversity		
Forest animals	Ecosystem supports abundant wildlife -mammals, birds, etc	Temporary/negligible effect due to habitat removal for access	Longterm risks associated with increased of channel floodi	Temporary/negligible effect due habitat removal for access		
			l l			
Other						
Other Stream aesthetics	Aesthetic quality decreased by debris and stagnant water	Improve by removing debris	Aesthetic quality decreased by debris and stagnant water	Improved by removing debris		
		Improve by removing debris Temp decrease at acceinss	Aesthetic quality decreased by debris and stagnant water Decrease in longterm from cumulative impacts of debris	Improved by removing debris Temp decrease at access		

DSR NO: ______103-05-150K

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

Resource	Existing Condition	ZE Special Environme	Alternatives and Effects	-
Consideration	Existing Condition	Dropogod 4 eties		
Consideration		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S.	CWA jurisdiction - debris in channel affects water flow and water quality	CWA permit required - improve water quality and restore hydrology	Continued decrease in water quality w/ debris decomposition and altered hydrology	CWA permit required - improve water quality and restore hydrology
Coastal Zone Management Areas	Located in the Coastal Zone	Located in the Coastal Zone	Located in the Coastal Zone	Located in the Coastal Zone
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Coral Reefs				***************************************
Cultural Davis	(FOTG) none observed on-site	(FOTG) none observed on-site	(FOTG) none observed on-site	(FOTG) попе observed on-site
Cultural Resources				
Endangered and Threatened Species	None observed, 6 known and 2 possible T&E species listed for Parish in FOTG -	None observed. 6 known and 2 possible T&E species listed for Parish in FOTG -	None observed. 6 known and 2 possible T&E species listed for Parish in FOTG -	None observed. 6 known and 2 possible T&E species listed for Parish in FOTG -
Environmental Justice	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Essential Fish Habitat	(FOTG) No EFH - reference NOAA	(FOTG) No EFH	(FOTG) No EFH	(FOTG) No EFH
Fish and Wildlife Coordination	State level review by project type with USFWS&LDWF Consultation not required for debris removal.	State level review by project type with USFWS&LOWF Consultation not requireand high numberd.	State fevel review by project type with USFWS&LDWF Consultation not required.	State level review by project type with USFWS&LDWF Consultation not required.
Floodplain Management	Located in 100-year flood plain. Potential impairment of function.	site located within 100-year floodplain restored to prestorm condition	Located in 100-year flood plain. Potential impairment of function	site located within 100-year floodplain restored to prestorm condition
	Tallow and honeysuckle	No effect	No effect	No effect
Invasive Species				
Migratory Birds	Abundant habitat - many observed during site visit	Proposed action will not have a significant adverse effect on habitat or population	Excess debris contributes to increased flooding and decreased habitat quality	No adverse effect on habitat during removal.
Natural Areas	(FOTG) None present	(FOTG) None present	(FOTG) None present	(FOTG) None present
Prime and Unique Farmlands	(FOTG) None present	N/A	N/A	N/A
Riparian Areas	Riparian habital potential of be impacted by flooding due to debris accumulation	Riparian habitat improved by debris removal although decrease at access points	Riparian habitat potential ot be impacted by flooding due to debris accumulation	Riparian habitat improved by debris removal although decrease at access points
Scenic Beauty	(FOTG) None present - no designated areas of Scenic Beauty within project area	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wetlands	Open water containing debris. Emergent/wooded wellands possible, not noted at visit	Debris removed from open water and adjacent to stream. Wetlands not adversely impacted.	Open water containing debris, Emergent/wooded wetlands possible, not noted at visit	Debris removed from open water and adjacent to stream. Wetlands not adversely impact
Wild and Scenic Rivers	(FOTG) (LDWF scenic streams list) Not listed	(FOTG) (LDWF scenic streams list) Not listed	(FOTG) (LDWF scenic streams list) Not listed	(FOTG) (LDWF scenic streams list) Not listed

Completed By: Monica Wallace	Date:	02/28/2006
·		

DSR NO:	103-05-150K

Section 2F Economic

This section must be completed by each alternative c	onsidered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

This section must be completed by each accordance	Future Damages (\$)	Damage Factor (%)	
Properties Protected (Private)			
21 Houses @ \$200,000 each	4,200,000.00	25	1,050,000.00
Properties Protected (Public)			
ALEXANDER. TOMASS			
Business Losses			
33377455			
		•	
Other			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total Near Term Da	mage Peduction ©	1,050,000.00
Net Benefit (Total Near Term Damage			996,010.00
140t Donott (10tai 14tai 16tiii Dainage	Accuration minus CC	st Hom Section 3)	000,010.00

Completed By:	Mike Mullennex	Date:	03/01/2006

Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered

(attach additional sheets as necessary).

	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?	The state of the s	V	Not in the immediate area but several deaths attributable to the storm occurred in the Parish
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?	The second		
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?	\$ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	B. Strong Street	Yes - many downed trees blocking access
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)	A de la constantina del constantina de la constantina del constantina de la constant	To many America 2	Impairment increased flooding impacts throughout the community
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?	3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	and the same of th	
Completed By: Mike Mullennex		Date:	03/01/2006

DSR NO:	
	103-05-150K

Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

Group Representation	Number
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	
Asian Female Hispanic	
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	
Asian Male Hispanic	
Asian Male Non-Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	8
Black or African American Male Hispanic	
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	8
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic	
White Female Hispanic	
White Female Non-Hispanic	29
White Male Hispanic	
White Male Non-Hispanic	29
Total Group	74

Census tract(s) T041205, BG2	
Completed By: Mike Mullennex	Date:03/01/2006

DSR NO: _______103-05-150K

Section 2I. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Access permission will be required.

CWA permit prior to debris removal in channel (COE).

Water Quality Certification (LDEQ)

Consistency Authorization (LDNR CMD)

Mitigation Description:

Access debris from only one side of channel, may alternate sides to minimize work related damages/disturbances and costs. Work should be conducted on alternate sides of channel channel to minimize damage to riparian areas and residential areas, whenever possible. If needed, reseeding will be used to reestablish vegetation. Debris removal will conducted during consecutive days to minimize disturbance to surrounding wildlife and residents.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

COE LDEQ LDWF LDNR CMD

St. Tammany Parish Government Private landowners

DSR NO: 103-05-150K

Section 3 Engineering Cost Estimate

Completed By: _	J.S. Weller, R.C.E.	Date: 03/01/2006
-----------------	---------------------	------------------

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Proposed Recovery Measure (including mitigation)	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Amount (\$)
Proposed Action- Debris removal with conventional equipment from channel and banks and 20 ft. beyond on side worked. Work one side only where possible. Haul debris to approved disposal area.				0.00
Mobilization	1	LS	5,000.00	5,000.00
Seeding, Sprigging, and Mulching	1	Ac	200.00	200.00
Channel Obstruction Removal (Urban Med debris w/access problems)	1,190	LF	22.00	26,180.00
Channel Obstruction Removal (Urban Light debris w/access problems)	1,190	LF	19.00	22,610.00
Mobilization				0.00
		THE PARTY OF THE P		0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
	Total In	stallation Cost (Ei	nter in Section 1F)\$	53,990.00

Unit Abbreviations:

	1 10 01 0 1 1010101		
AC	Acre	LS	Lump Sum
CY	Cubic Yard	SF	Square Feet
EA	Each	SY	Square Yard
HR	Hour	TN	Ton
LF	Linear Feet	Othe	r (Specifiy)

DSR NO: 103-05-150K

Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifer
1. Is this an exigency situation?		✓		
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?	7			2 d,e
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened?		~		
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?		√		
The following are modifiers for the above criteria			Modifier	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?				
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places?				
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland?				
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands?			d	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions?			е	
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?				

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

DSR NO:	103-05-150K	

Section 5A Findings

Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):

The proposed action is the preferred alternative. The proposed action is the least costly alternative that achieves the restoration objectives, maximizes environmental benefits, and is socially acceptable. The proposed action is removal of debris with conventional equipment from channel and banks and haul 20 ft. beyond on side worked. Work one side only where possible.

I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27).

,	
Has been sufficiently an Chapter 5.2.2.1.2	alyzed in the EWP PEIS (reference all that apply)
Chapter Chapter	
Chapter	
Chapter	
Chapter	
May require the prepara	tion of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement.
The action will be referred to	the NRCS State Office on this date:
NRCS representative of the DSR team	A. Berling
Title: District Conservationist	03/01/2006 Date:
Section 5B Comments:	
Section 5C	Sponsor Concurrence:
Sponsor Representative	
Title: <u>GNV. SPC.</u>	Date: 5.2206
Section 6 Attachments: A. Location Map	

B. Site Plan or Sketches

C. Other (explain)